Page 12.--Second paragraph; add at end of last sentence the following sentence: "In the District of Columbia, nonprofit organizations which are organized for scientific, literary or educational purposes are covered."

Eighth line of paragraph under run-in heading "Service for Federal Instrumentalities"; insert "except New Jersey" after "States."

II. FINANCING

TABLES

Table 7.--Summary of experience-rating provisions

Mississippi	Change from:	<u>To:</u>
Col. 5, Number of schedules of reduced rates:	4	3
Col. 6, Number of reduced rates in best schedule:	6	8
Col. 7, Minimum rate in best schedule:	0.6	0.3
Col. 8, Maximum reduced rate in best schedule:	2.3	2.4
Col. 9, Maximum possible rate:	2.7	3.2
District of Columbia		
Col. 10, Voluntary contributions permitted:		Х

- Table 8.--Computation date, effective date for new rates, and minimum period of experience required under State experience-rating provisions
 - Col. 1, Computation date:

Arizona - Delete footnote 2

Col. 2, Effective date for new rates:

Arizona - Delete footnote 2

Col. 3, Minimum period of 3 years required for reduced rates:

Arizona - Delete "X"

Col. 4, Reduced rates obtainable with less than 3 years experience:

Alaska - Add "1/"

Arizona - Insert "l year"

District of Columbia - Insert "(3/)"

Footnote 1/: Insert before Connecticut in the third line "Alaska"

Footnote $\overline{2}$: Delete Arizona in last line

Footnote 3/: Add at end of footnote the following: "(Colorado); certain nonprofit employers may receive a reduced rate after 1 year (District of Columbia)."

- Table 10. -- Transfer of experience for employer rates No change
- Table 11. -- Employers charged and benefits excluded from charging, 47 States which charge benefits or benefit derivatives
 - Col. 6, Benefits excluded from charging as a result of voluntary leaving: Virginia Insert "3/ X"
 - Footnote 3/: Add at end of footnote the following: "Charges are omitted for claimants who left work to accept other employment and, when the new employment did not last as long as 30 days, refused to accept an offer to return to the original work (Virginia)."
- Table 12.--Fund requirements for any reduction from standard rate and for most favorable schedule
 - Col. 6, Requirement for most favorable schedule:

 Mississippi Change the percent of payrolls from "8" to "7"
 Footnote 9/: In first line, delete 2.5 and insert 2.0.
- Table 14.--Contribution rates in effect January 1, 1962, by reserve ratio, 26 States with reserve-ratio formula

Since some of the States included in this table do not compute their 1963 rates until later in the year, only a partial listing of the changes is possible. The following data was compiled on January 15, 1962:

Eleven of the States (California, Colorado, Hawaii, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Ohio, and Tennessee) continued to use the same schedules that were in effect during 1962. In Missouri and Tennessee, however, rates for employers with negative balances may now be as high as 4.2 and 4.0, respectively. In six other States, higher rate schedules are in effect for the current year. These States and the range of rates are as follows: Louisiana (0.9 to 2.7), Maine (1.4 to 2.7), Nevada (uniform 2.7), North Carolina (0.4 to 2.7), South Carolina (0.6 to 2.7), and Wisconsin (0 to 4.0). In Massachusetts, a lower rate schedule (0.9 to 3.7) is effective. Two other States changed the reserve ratio required for each reduced rate—the District of Columbia (reserve ratio required for each rate reduced by 0.1) and Nebraska (reserve ratio increased by 0.5 for each rate).

TEXT

- Page 18.--Second paragraph, first sentence; insert "See tabular summary at end of January 1, 1963 Supplement."
- Page 35.--Noncharging of benefits. Third line of third paragraph; insert "Rhode Island" after "New York."

III. BENEFITS*

TABLES

Table 16.--Base period and benefit year No change

Table 17. -- Wage and employment requirements to qualify for benefits

Col. 2, Qualifying wages required: Virginia	Change from: 30 x wba	<u>To</u> : 38 x wba
Col. 4, Minimum wages required in base	period:	
Alabama	\$332.01	\$331.50
Virginia	300.00	450.00
Footnote 2/: Lines 3 and 4; change all	after "brackets" to	read "and
the District of Columbia	which provides for a	stepdown of
2 brackets; in Puerto Ric	o which has an unlimi	ted stepdown
provision;"		

Table 18.--Waiting-period requirements No change

Table 19. -- Weekly benefits for total unemployment

Col. 3, Minimum weekly benefit:	Change from:	To:	
Kentucky	\$11.00	\$1 <u>2.</u> 00	
Virginia	10.00	12.00	
Col. 4, Maximum weekly benefit:			
Colorado*	<u>2/4/</u> 47.00-59.00	2/4/48.00-60.00	
District of Columbia	<u>2</u> / <u>4</u> /30.00	<u>2/4/49.00</u>	
Idaho*	⁻ 4/43.00	4/44.00	
Kansas*	<u>4</u> /44.00	4/45.00	
Kentucky	37.00	40.00	
Maryland	35.00-43.00	38.00-46.00	
South Carolina*	<u>4</u> /34 .0 0	4/35.00	
Utah*	<u>4</u> /43.00	4 /45.00	
Vermont*	<u>∓</u> /40.∞	<u>4</u> /41.00	
Virginia	32.00	34.00	
Wisconsin*	<u>4</u> /50.00	<u>4</u> /52 . 00	
Col. 5, High-quarter wages needed for minimum:			
Virginia	75.00	112.50	
Col. 6, Base-period wages needed for minimum:			
Alabama	332.00	331.50	
<u>Virginia</u>	300.00	450.00	

^{*} In States noted the change was due to a change in the maximum weekly benefit as computed under a "flexible maximum" provision enacted before 1962; see page 63 of Comparison.